arXiv:1602.05392v1 [hep-ph] 17 Feb 2016

New observables for multiple-parton interactions measurements using Z + jets process at the LHC

M. Bansal

D.A.V. College, Sector 10, Chandigarh, INDIA

S. Bansal,* R. Kumar,† and J. B. Singh

Panjab University, Chandigarh, INDIA

(Dated: February 18, 2016)

Abstract

Multiple-parton interactions play a vital role in hadron-hadron collisions. This paper presents a study of the multiple-parton interactions with simulated Z + jets events in proton-proton collisions at a centre-of-mass energy of 13 TeV. The events are simulated with POWHEG followed by hadronization and parton-showering using PYTHIA8. The events with dimuon invariant mass in the range of 60–120 GeV/c^2 are selected for the analysis. The charged particle jets, having minimum transverse momentum of 5 GeV/c and absolute pseudo-rapidity less than 2, are used to construct the observables for measurements of the multiple-parton interactions. The proposed observables and phase-space region presented in this paper found to have enhanced sensitivity to multiple-parton interactions. The increased sensitivity to MPI will be lead to precise constraints on the parameters of the MPI models.

* sbansal@pu.ac.in

† raman_phy@auts.ac.in; Akal University, Talwandi Sabo, INDIA

I. INTRODUCTION

The inelastic cross-section in hadron-hadron collisions, *e.g.*, at Large Hadron Collider (LHC), are dominated by semihard parton-parton scatterings producing particles with transverse momenta (p_{T}) of few GeV/c. At high energy collisions, the parton densities are large enough to cause a significant probability for two or more parton-parton scatterings within the same hadron-hadron collision. The theoretical predictions for such multiple-parton interactions (MPI) [1] has been already confirmed by various experimental measurements at hadron colliders, *e.g.*, AFS, Tevatron and LHC. The study of MPI is important as it provides information on the parton-parton correlations and parton distributions in a hadron [2]. High p_{T}/mass particles produced in the MPI also constitute as a background to new physics searches [3, 4]. The experimental identification of hadron and lepton, which are crucial to several measurements at LHC, is also affected by MPI.

MPI can not be completely described by perturbative quantum chromodynamics (QCD) and this requires a phenomenological description involving parameters that must be tuned with the help of data [5, 6]. A wide range of experimental measurements is available for soft MPI ($p_{\mathrm{T}} \sim 0.5$ GeV/c) as well as hard MPI of scale as high as the mass of W boson. For soft MPI analyses [7–17], collective properties, *e.g.*, charged particle multiplicity and their scalar p_{T} sum are measured as a function of the event energy scale. These measurements are used to tune parameters of the MPI models. A better understanding of phenomenology of the MPI producing high p_{T} particles is equally important. It is needed to investigate how well the model parameters tuned using soft MPI measurements work with hard MPI.

A number of measurements has been performed for study of high-p_{T}/mass particle production from MPI [18–26], *e.g.*, Z/W + jets, photon + jets, and 4-jets. These measurements explore the correlation observables, discussed in subsequent sections, between the particles produced from the first and second parton-parton scatterings. These observables show little sensitivity to MPI which lead to large systematic uncertainties in the tuned model parameters [5]. In addition, inclusive MPI selection is required for the theoretically correct estimation of the model parameters [27–29], but experimental selection criteria restricts existing measurements to the double parton scattering (DPS) only. This paper presents the study of Z + jets events to investigate new observables which consider higher order parton-parton interactions, in addition to DPS, and also have large sensitivity to the MPI.

The outline of the paper is as follows. In Section II, simulation of the Z + jets events and selection criteria is discussed. Section III describes the correlation observables used for the measurements of hard MPI. The results of the study of various observables for the measurements of the MPI are described in the Section IV. Section V summarizes the studies presented in this paper.

II. EVENT GENERATION AND SELECTION CRITERIA

A. Event generation

Simulated events for Z + jets process, produced in pp collisions at 13 TeV, are generated up to NLO accuracy with POWHEG [30, 31]. POWHEG uses the "Multi-scale improved NLO" (MiNLO) method [32] which describes well the jet productions associated with W/Z boson. These hard scattering events are hadronized and parton showered using PYTHIA8 [33]. The MPI are simulated with the PYTHIA8 [34]. In order to study the effect of MPI, Z + jets events are also generated without the MPI simulation. The key features of the MPI model are:

- the ratio of the 2→2 partonic cross section, integrated above a transverse momentum (p_{T}) cutoff scale, to the total inelastic pp cross section, which is a measure of the amount of MPI. A factor with a free parameter, $p_{\mathrm{T}0}$, is introduced to regularize an otherwise divergent partonic cross section,

$$\frac{\alpha_{\mathrm{s}}^2(p_{\mathrm{T}}^2 + {p_{\mathrm{T}0}}^2)}{\alpha_{\mathrm{s}}^2(p_{\mathrm{T}}^2)} \cdot \frac{p_{\mathrm{T}}^4}{(p_{\mathrm{T}}^2 + {p_{\mathrm{T}0}}^2)^2} \ , \tag{1}$$

  with

$$p_{\mathrm{T}0}(\sqrt{s}) = p_{\mathrm{T}0}(\sqrt{s_0}) \left(\frac{\sqrt{s}}{\sqrt{s_0}}\right)^{\epsilon} \ . \tag{2}$$

  Here $\sqrt{s_0}$ = 1.8 TeV and 'ϵ' is a parameter characterizing the energy dependence of $p_{\mathrm{T}0}$.

- The number of MPI in an event has a Poisson distribution with a mean that depends on the overlap of the matter distribution of the hadrons in impact-parameter space.

The present model uses the convolution of the matter distributions of the two incoming hadrons as an estimate of the impact parameter profile. The overlap function is of the form e^{-bZ}, where 'b' is the impact parameter and 'Z' is a free parameter.

The ATLAS A14 tune [35] with NNPDF2.3LO set of parton distribution functions (PDF) is used. This set of MPI model parameters is obtained by fitting the underlying event data obtained at the LHC. There are other MPI models for PYTHIA8 and various Monte-Carlo event generators also exist with MPI model different than PYTHIA8, *i.e.*, SHERPA [36], HERWIG++ [37] , *etc.* But, the methodology for MPI measurement, presented in this paper, is not specific to choice of MPI model or Monte-Carlo event generators. This methodology is devised as per experimental feasibility of the measurement which depends upon the control of the single parton scatterings (SPS) background. Also the correlation between SPS background and boost of Z- boson is independent of MPI modeling. Therefore, conclusion of the presented paper is independent of the choice of MPI model.

B. Event selection

Events with well identified Z-candidates are selected using the kinematic properties of the muons produced by the decay of the Z-boson. The kinematic selection criteria used for Z-candidate events is:

- exactly two muons with p_{T} larger than 20 GeV/c and absolute pseudo-rapidity (η) less than 2.5.
- Invariant mass of the two muons is defined as:

$$M_{\mu\mu} = \sqrt{(E^1 + E^2)^2 - (p_x^1 + p_x^2)^2 - (p_y^1 + p_y^2)^2 - (p_z^1 + p_z^2)^2}, \tag{3}$$

  where (E^1,p_x^1,p_y^1,p_z^1) and (E^2,p_x^2,p_y^2,p_z^2) are the four-momenta of the two muons. The events with invariant mass around Z-mass within the window of 60-120 GeV/c^2 are selected.

This kinematic selection criteria is motivated from the trigger and the background constraints at the LHC experiments.

Jets are clustered using anti-k_T algorithm [38] with the radius parameter equal to 0.5. Only charged particles, with $|\eta| < 2$, are used for the jet clustering because the charged

particles are reconstructed in the tracking detector and hence identification efficiency is large even at very low p_{T} [17]. A condition of $|\eta| < 2$ is used considering the tracker acceptance of the LHC experiments. The cluster jets are required to have minimal p_{T} of 20 GeV/c and $\eta < 2$. Jets with lower p_{T} threshold are also considered to study the dependency on energy scale of the MPI.

III. OBSERVABLES

For soft MPI measurements, inclusive properties, *e.g.*, charged particle multiplicity and scalar sum of p_{T} of charged particles as a function of p_{T}/mass of the leading object, are used. These distributions are fitted using PROFESSOR [39] to estimate the tuned parameters of MPI models. The hard MPI analyses are restricted to DPS only and use correlation observables between decay products of the first and second hard interactions. These observables are based on the assumption that MPI are independent of each other. The hard MPI measurements at Tevatron [20, 21] and LHC [23–25] are performed using various correlation observables, *e.g.*, ΔS and $\Delta p_{\mathrm{T}}^{\mathrm{rel}}$, which can be defined for Z + jets process as follows:

- the relative p_{T}-balance ($\Delta p_{\mathrm{T}}^{\mathrm{rel}}$) between two jets, is defined as:

$$\Delta p_{\mathrm{T}}^{\mathrm{rel}} = \frac{|\vec{p_{\mathrm{T}}}(\mathrm{j1}) + \vec{p_{\mathrm{T}}}(\mathrm{j2})|}{|\vec{p_{\mathrm{T}}}(\mathrm{j1})| + |\vec{p_{\mathrm{T}}}(\mathrm{j2})|}, \tag{4}$$

  where $\vec{p_{\mathrm{T}}}(\mathrm{j1})$ and $\vec{p_{\mathrm{T}}}(\mathrm{j2})$ represent transverse momentum vectors of leading and subleading jets respectively.

- Azimuthal separation between Z boson and di-jet (ΔS) is defined as:

$$\Delta\mathrm{S} = \cos^{-1}\left(\frac{\vec{p_{\mathrm{T}}}(\mathrm{Z}) \cdot \vec{p_{\mathrm{T}}}(\mathrm{di-jet})}{|\vec{p_{\mathrm{T}}}(\mathrm{Z})|\ |\vec{p_{\mathrm{T}}}(\mathrm{di-jet})|}\right), \tag{5}$$

  where $\vec{p_{\mathrm{T}}}(\mathrm{Z})$ and $\vec{p_{\mathrm{T}}}(\mathrm{di-jet})$ represent transverse momentum vectors of the Z boson and di-jet system respectively.

For Z + jets events (jets are required to have p_{T} larger than 20 GeV/c and $|\eta| < 2$), the distributions of $\Delta p_{\mathrm{T}}^{\mathrm{rel}}$ and ΔS observables are shown in Fig. 1(a) and Fig. 1(b) respectively. In DPS events, at leading order (LO), the two jets balance each other and $\Delta p_{\mathrm{T}}^{\mathrm{rel}}$ is small, which is not the case for events from SPS. In DPS events, transverse momentum vectors

of the two objects are randomly oriented. It leads to a flat distribution of ΔS observable for DPS events. For SPS events, the Z boson and di-jet are supposed to balance each other at LO and hence ΔS observable is expected to have values closer to π radians. MPI contribution is extracted by a template fit method [23, 24] as well as by fitting [5] the distributions with PROFESSOR. In this paper, jet multiplicity observable is investigated for the MPI measurements. Jet multiplicity does not require any exclusive selection on MPI and sensitivity is also better, as will be discussed Section IV, compared to the correlation observables.

IV. RESULTS AND DISCUSSIONS

Figure 1(a) and Fig. 1(b) shows the distributions of $\Delta p_{\mathrm{T}}^{\mathrm{rel}}$ and ΔS observables for Z + jets events, with the selection criteria as mentioned in Section II, with and without MPI simulation. A visible effect ($\sim$20%) of MPI is observed at the lower values of $\Delta p_{\mathrm{T}}^{\mathrm{rel}}$ and up to 15% for ΔS. MPI also affect the jet multiplicity distribution up to 25% as shown in Fig. 2 (a). There is no apparent gain in MPI sensitivity by using jet multiplicity, but it will lead to inclusive MPI selection unlike the correlation observables. The sensitivity to MPI is low due to large background from the SPS. For SPS events, there is a direct correlation between p_{T} of Z-boson ($p_{\mathrm{T}}^{\mathrm{Z}}$) and associated jets. Therefore, SPS background can be reduced by applying an upper cut on the $p_{\mathrm{T}}^{\mathrm{Z}}$. A gain, in terms of MPI sensitivity by a factor 3–4, is achieved by applying an upper cut of 10 GeV/c on the $p_{\mathrm{T}}^{\mathrm{Z}}$ as depicted in Fig. 2 (b). The correlation observables are also expected to show the same gain with upper cut on $\mathrm{p}_{\mathrm{T}}^{\mathrm{Z}}$, but it is observed that sensitivity decreases for the correlation observables. This is because of the fact that kinematics of SPS events, which survived after an upper on $p_{\mathrm{T}}^{\mathrm{Z}}$, are more like MPI events. There will a good fraction of events having two jets which balance each other but not the Z-boson. These two jets can be randomly oriented with respect to Z-direction. Thus, for the selected SPS events correlation observables will have same distributions as for MPI events. This observation is visible from Fig. 3(a) and Fig. 3(b) which shows the comparison of correlation observables in Z + jets with and without MPI after requiring $p_{\mathrm{T}}^{\mathrm{Z}} < 10$ GeV/c.

To investigate further if jet multiplicity distribution will give better estimation of individual MPI model parameters, following parameters are studied:

- $p_{\mathrm{T0}}(\sqrt{s_0})$: value of p_{T} cut-off scale at reference energy scale, $\sqrt{s_0}$= 1.8 TeV. The

ATLAS A14 tune with NNPDF2.3LO PDF set uses 2.09 GeV/c as its default value.

- Z: free parameter for the impact parameter profile of the colliding hadron beams as discussed in Section II. The default value for 'Z' is 1.85 cm^{-1}.

- $\alpha_{\rm S}^{\rm MPI}$: value of strong coupling constant for MPI chosen at the mass of Z-boson. The default value of this free parameter is 0.126.

These parameters are varied independently by ±25% to study their effect on sensitivity of the observables. The values of these parameters along with their default values are given in Table I. The effect of variation in $p_{\rm T0}(\sqrt{s_0})$ on sensitivity of jet multiplicity, $\Delta p_{\rm T}^{\rm rel}$ and ΔS observables is shown in Fig. 4(a), Fig. 4(b) and Fig. 4(c) respectively. The correlation observables show the deviations up to 5–10%, whereas jet multiplicity shows the deviations up to 60%. Figure 5(a), Fig. 5(b) and Fig. 5(c) shows the effect of MPI parameter 'Z' on the jet multiplicity, $\Delta p_{\rm T}^{\rm rel}$ and ΔS observables respectively. The jet multiplicity distribution shows the maximal variation of 40% against the little effect (<10 %) on the correlation observables. Similarly, with the variation of $\alpha_{\rm S}^{\rm MPI}$, it is observed that correlation observables show sensitivity as high as 60% (Fig. 6(b) and Fig. 6(c)), whereas jet multiplicity shows sensitivity even larger than 300%, as shown in Fig. 6 (a). It is clear that same variation in MPI model parameters gives large affect on the jet multiplicity as compared to the correlation observables. As discussed earlier, enhanced MPI sensitivity for jet multiplicity distribution is due to the suppression of the SPS background with an upper cut on the $p_{\rm T}^{\rm Z}$. This large sensitivity to the MPI parameters will lead to more accurate estimation of the model parameters with reduced systematic uncertainties.

Jets with lower $p_{\rm T}$ threshold are selected to study the effect of scale of the second interaction. Figure 7(a), Fig. 7(b) and Fig. 7(c) shows the sensitivity of jet multiplicity with different $p_{\rm T}$ threshold of 5 GeV/c, 10 GeV/c and 15 GeV/c of jets respectively. It is clear that MPI sensitivity is present even for low $p_{\rm T}$ jets, and hence jet multiplicity can also be used to study the effect of energy scale of MPI.

TABLE I. Range of MPI parameters with ATLAS A14 tune and NNPDF2.3LO

Parameter	PYTHIA8 option	default value	variation
$p_{\mathrm{T}0}(\sqrt{s_0})$ [GeV/c]	`MultipartonInteractions:pT0Ref`	2.09	$\pm$25%
Z [cm^{-1}]	`MultipartonInteractions:expPow`	1.85	$\pm$25%
$\alpha_{\mathrm{S}}^{\mathrm{MPI}}$	`MultipartonInteractions:alphaSvalue`	0.126	$\pm$25%



(a) (b)

FIG. 1. The distributions of correlation observables, (a) $\Delta p_{\mathrm{T}}^{\mathrm{rel}}$ and (b) ΔS are compared for events with and without MPI. The events from Z + jets processes are generated using POWHEG, parton showered and hadronized with PYTHIA8. Jets with p_{T} larger than 20 GeV/c are considered. The ratio plot in the bottom panel shows the deviations of the distributions after switching off MPI.

V. SUMMARY

This paper presents the analysis of the Z + jets events to explore the new observables and phase-space region which can enhance the sensitivity to the MPI. The Z + jets events are generated with POWHEG, followed by the hadronization and showering with PYTHIA8. The distributions of jet multiplicity associated with Z-boson can be useful in inclusive study



(a) (b)

FIG. 2. Jet multiplicity distributions are compared for events with and without MPI. The events from Z + jets processes are generated using POWHEG, parton showered and hadronized with PYTHIA8. Jets with p_{T} larger than 20 GeV/c are considered. The distributions are shown (a) without any condition on $p_{\mathrm{T}}^{\mathrm{Z}}$ and (b) with $p_{\mathrm{T}}^{\mathrm{Z}}$ less than 10 GeV/c. The ratio plot in the bottom panel shows deviations of the distributions after switching off MPI.

of MPI. The sensitivity to the presence of MPI increases significantly by requiring an upper cut on the p_{T} of Z-boson. It is observed that parameters of the MPI model, have increased sensitivity in the jet multiplicity distribution than the correlation observables. Hence jet multiplicity distribution associated with Z-boson can be used to perform the inclusive MPI measurements at the LHC and constraint MPI model parameters with better precision.

ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

This work is financially supported by Department of Science and Technology (DST), New Delhi and University Grant Commission (UGC), New Delhi. Authors would like to thank Hannes Jung, Paolo Bartalini and Paolo Gunnelini for the providing feedback on the topic. Authors would also like to thank authors of POWHEG and PYTHIA8.



(a) (b)

FIG. 3. The distributions of correlation observables, (a) $\Delta p_{\mathrm{T}}^{\mathrm{rel}}$ and (b) ΔS are compared for events with and without MPI. The events from Z + jets processes are generated using POWHEG, parton showered and hadronized with PYTHIA8. Jets with p_{T} greater than 20 GeV/c are considered and $p_{\mathrm{T}}^{\mathrm{Z}}$ is required to be less than 10 GeV/c. The ratio plot in the bottom panel shows deviations of the distributions after switching off MPI.

[1] T. Sjöstrand and M. Van Zijl, Phys. Rev. D **36**, 2019 (1987).

[2] M. Diehl, D. Ostermeier, and A. Schäfer, JHEP **03**, 89 (2012), arXiv:1111.0910 [hep-ph].

[3] M. Y. Hussein, Nucl. Phys. Proc. Suppl. **174**, 55 (2007), arXiv:hep-ph/0610207 [hep-ph].

[4] Chatrchyan *et al.* (CMS), JHEP **06**, 077 (2011), arXiv:1104.3168 [hep-ex].

[5] Chatrchyan *et al.* (CMS), (2015), arXiv:1512.00815 [hep-ex].

[6] A. Moraes, C. Buttar, and I. Dawson, Eur. Phys. J. **C50**, 435 (2007).

[7] Abelev *et al.* (ALICE), JHEP **07**, 116 (2012), arXiv:1112.2082 [hep-ex].

[8] G. Aad *et al.* (ATLAS), Phys. Rev. **D83**, 112001 (2011), arXiv:1012.0791 [hep-ex].

[9] G. Aad *et al.* (ATLAS), Eur. Phys. J. **C71**, 1636 (2011), arXiv:1103.1816 [hep-ex].

[10] G. Aad *et al.* (ATLAS), (2015), arXiv:1512.00197 [hep-ex].



(a) (b)

(c)

FIG. 4. The distributions of (a) jet multiplicity, (b) $\Delta p_{\mathrm{T}}^{\mathrm{rel}}$ and (c) ΔS observables are compared with variation ($\pm 25\%$) in $p_{\mathrm{T0}}(\sqrt{s_0})$. The events from Z + jets processes are generated using POWHEG5, parton showered and hadronized with PYTHIA8. The value of $p_{\mathrm{T}}^{\mathrm{Z}}$ is required to be less than 10 GeV/c and jets with p_{T} larger than 20 GeV/c are considered. The ratio plot in the bottom panel shows deviations of the distributions after switching off MPI.



(a)



(b)



(c)

FIG. 5. The distributions of (a) jet multiplicity, (b) $\Delta p_{\mathrm{T}}^{\mathrm{rel}}$ and (c) ΔS observables are compared with variation ($\pm 25\%$) in 'Z'. The events from Z + jets processes are generated using POWHEG, parton showered and hadronized with PYTHIA8. The value of $p_{\mathrm{T}}^{\mathrm{Z}}$ is required to be less than 10 GeV/c and jets with p_{T} larger than 20 GeV/c are considered. The ratio plot in the bottom panel shows deviations of the distributions after switching off MPI.



(a)



(b)



(c)

FIG. 6. The distributions of (a) jet multiplicity, (b) $\Delta p_{\mathrm{T}}^{\mathrm{rel}}$ and (c) ΔS observables are compared with variation ($\pm$25%) in $\alpha_{\mathrm{S}}^{\mathrm{MPI}}$. The events from Z + jets processes are generated using POWHEG, parton showered and hadronized with PYTHIA8. The value of $p_{\mathrm{T}}^{\mathrm{Z}}$ is required to be less than 10 GeV/c and jets with p_{T} larger than 20 GeV/c are considered. The ratio plot in the bottom panel shows deviations of the distributions after switching off MPI.



(a) (b)



(c)

FIG. 7. Jet multiplicity distributions are compared for events with and without MPI. The events from Z + jets processes are generated using POWHEG5, parton showered and hadronized with PYTHIA8. Jets with p_{T} larger than (a) 5 GeV/c, (b) 10 GeV/c and (c) 15 GeV/c are considered and $p_{\mathrm{T}}^{\mathrm{Z}}$ is required to be less than 10 GeV/c. The ratio plot in the bottom panel shows deviations of the distributions after switching off MPI.

[11] G. Aad *et al.* (ATLAS), Eur. Phys. J. **C74**, 3195 (2014), arXiv:1409.3433 [hep-ex].

[12] Chatrchyan *et al.* (CMS), JHEP **09**, 109 (2011), arXiv:1107.0330 [hep-ex].

[13] Chatrchyan *et al.* (CMS), JHEP **09**, 137 (2015), arXiv:1507.07229 [hep-ex].

[14] Chatrchyan *et al.* (CMS), CMS-PAS-FSQ-15-007 (2015).

[15] Chatrchyan *et al.* (CMS), CMS-PAS-TOP-13-007 (2013).

[16] T. Aaltonen *et al.* (CDF), Phys. Rev. **D82**, 034001 (2010), arXiv:1003.3146 [hep-ex].

[17] Chatrchyan *et al.* (CMS), Eur. Phys. J. **C72**, 2080 (2012), arXiv:1204.1411 [hep-ex].

[18] J. Alitti *et al.* (UA2), Phys. Lett. B **268**, 145 (1991).

[19] T. Akesson *et al.* (AFS), Z. Phys. C **34**, 163 (1987).

[20] V. M. Abazov *et al.* (D0), Phys. Rev. D **81**, 052012 (2010), arXiv:hep-ex/0912.5104 [hep-ex].

[21] F. Abe *et al.* (CDF), Phys. Rev. D **56**, 3811 (1997).

[22] F. Abe *et al.* (CDF), Phys. Rev. D **47**, 4857 (1993).

[23] Chatrchyan *et al.* (CMS), JHEP **03**, 032 (2014), arXiv:1312.5729 [hep-ex].

[24] G. Aad *et al.* (ATLAS), New J. Phys. **15**, 033038 (2013), arXiv:1301.6872 [hep-ex].

[25] Chatrchyan *et al.* (CMS), CMS-PAS-FSQ-12-017 (2015).

[26] Chatrchyan *et al.* (CMS), CMS-PAS-FSQ-13-001 (2015).

[27] D. Treleani, Phys. Rev. D **76**, 076006 (2007), arXiv:0708.2603 [hep-ph].

[28] M. Bähr, M. Myska, M. H. Seymour, and A. Siodmok, JHEP **03**, 129 (2013), arXiv:1302.4325 [hep-ph].

[29] M. H. Seymour and A. Siodmok, (2013), arXiv:1308.6749 [hep-ph].

[30] S. Frixione, P. Nason, and C. Oleari, JHEP **11**, 070 (2007), arXiv:0709.2092 [hep-ph].

[31] J. M. Campbell, R. K. Ellis, P. Nason, and G. Zanderighi, JHEP **08**, 005 (2013), arXiv:1303.5447 [hep-ph].

[32] K. Hamilton, P. Nason, and G. Zanderighi, JHEP **10**, 155 (2012), arXiv:1206.3572 [hep-ph].

[33] T. Sjöstrand, S. Mrenna, and P. Z. Skands, Comput. Phys. Commun. **178**, 852 (2008), arXiv:0710.3820 [hep-ph].

[34] R. Corke, (2009), arXiv:0901.2852 [hep-ph].

[35] G. Aad *et al.* (ATLAS), ATL-PHYS-PUB-2012-003 (2012).

[36] T. Gleisberg, S. Hoeche, F. Krauss, M. Schonherr, S. Schumann, F. Siegert, and J. Winter, JHEP **02**, 007 (2009), arXiv:0811.4622 [hep-ph].

[37] M. Bahr *et al.*, Eur. Phys. J. **C58**, 639 (2008), arXiv:0803.0883 [hep-ph].

[38] M. Cacciari, G. P. Salam, and G. Soyez, JHEP **04**, 063 (2008), arXiv:0802.1189 [hep-ph].

[39] A. Buckley, H. Hoeth, H. Lacker, H. Schulz, and J. E. von Seggern, Eur. Phys. J. **C65**, 331 (2010), arXiv:0907.2973 [hep-ph].